



CHARACTER ASSOCIATION AND PATH ANALYSIS STUDIES IN BITTER GOURD

M. Aishwarya¹, A. R. Kurubar¹, Ravi Pujari^{1*} and J. M. Nidagundi²

¹Department of Horticulture, U.A.S., Raichur, Karnataka, India.

²Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, UAS, Raichur, Karnataka, India.

*Correspondence author E-mail : pujariravihort556@gmail.com

(Date of Receiving-07-12-2023; Date of Acceptance-16-02-2024)

Character association and path analysis in twenty four genetically diverse genotypes of bitter gourd was studied for 22 important characters. The phenotypic and genotypic association of fruit yield was significantly positive with all the characters except - Internodal length at 90 DAT (cm), Number of nodes per vine at 90 DAT, Number of branches per vine at 90 DAT X6 - Fruit diameter (mm), Rind thickness (mm), Node at which first female flower appeared, Number of female flowers per vine, Number of male flowers per vine, Sex ratio (%), Days to first harvest (DAT). The genotypic path coefficient analysis revealed that – Fruit length (cm), Node at which first male flower appeared, Average fruit weight (g), Total number of fruits per vine, Crop duration (days), Number of seeds per fruit, had high direct positive effect on total fruit yield.

ABSTRACT

Key words : Correlation, Path analysis, Yield, Bitter gourd.

Introduction

Bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.; 2n = 22) is an economically important Cucurbitaceous vegetable, which has 90 genera and 750 species (Ram, 2006) and is widely cultivated in India, China, Malaysia, Africa and South America (Raj *et al.*, 1993). It is also known as bitter melon, bitter gourd, bitter cucumber, bitter squash, balsam pear, karela, cassilla and maiden apple (Morton, 1967). Indian bitter gourd has wide phenotypic variation with respect to growth habit, maturity, fruit shape, size, colour, surface texture and sex expression (Behera *et al.*, 2006). For successful planning of breeding programme, the analysis of variability for important traits among genotypes and association with characters in relation to yield and yield attributing traits would be of great importance. Though, there is a wide range of genetic variability available in India, not much attention has been given to the genetic improvement of this crop. Hence, there is need for development of new varieties and hybrids with high productivity. However, under complex situation, correlations alone become insufficient to explain

relationships among characters and thus path analysis of economic yield components with yield is important. However, in wild melon the information on correlation and path analysis is meager. Therefore, field investigation was carried out with a view to study the character association and direct and indirect effect of independent characters on dependent bitter gourd yield by assessing the bitter gourd eighteen germplasm stocks maintained at M.A.R.S., Raichur (Karnataka), India.

Materials and Methods

The present study was carried out at M.A.R.S., Raichur (Karnataka), India during 2019-20 eighteen germplasm. The genotypes were assessed in a field experiment under a randomized block design with two replications. 10 plants maintained in each treatment with spacing of 2 m × 1 m between rows and plants, respectively. Cultural practices including need based plant protection measures were followed as per the recommendations. The data were recorded on five randomly selected plants from each treatment for 19 characters. Observations recorded on various growth

yields and quality attributes (Table 1). Genotypic correlation coefficient and direct and indirect effects were computed by using procedure given by Dewey and Lu (1957).

Results and Discussion

The correlation studies revealed that, in general, the estimate of genotypic correlation coefficient was higher than the corresponding phenotypic correlation coefficient thereby indicating a strong inherent association between various traits under study and masking effect of environment in the total expression of genotypes. Fruit yield per vine (Table 1) exhibited highly significant positive association with Vine length at 90 DAT (cm), Fruit length (cm), Days to first male flower appeared (DAT), Node at which first male flower appeared, Average fruit weight (g), Total number of fruits per vine, Days to last harvest (DAT).

Fruiting period (days), Crop duration (days), Number of seeds per fruit, Seed weight per fruit (g/fruit). This vividly suggests the, possibility of simultaneous improvement of these traits in improving fruit yield per vine. Similar results were reported by Changyuan *et al.* (2002). Sarkar *et al.* (1999) in pointed gourd, Podder *et al.* (2010) snake gourd, Chakraborty *et al.* (2013) and Sowmya *et al.* (2019) in bitter gourd found similar results. Hanchinamani and Patil (2009) and Kumar *et al.* (2011b) in cucumber, Reddy (2004) in snap melon, Iqbal *et al.* (2016) in bitter gourd, Singh *et al.* (2014) in bitter gourd, in cucumber.

The results of path co-efficient analysis at both genotypic and phenotypic level showing direct (diagonal values) and indirect effects of different contributing traits on fruit yield per vine of bitter gourd was mentioned in Tables 3 and 4. The traits viz., days to first harvest (DAT), days to last harvest (DAT) and fruiting period showed high heritability with yield during path coefficient analysis, so these are discarded from this analysis. Most of the characters contributing towards fruit yield per vine has been included in the study, which is depicted by the very negligible (0.230) residual effect.

The perusal of data represented in the Table 3 revealed that among all the characters studied, average fruit weight had highest positive direct effect (1.048) on fruit yield per vine followed by number of seeds per fruit (0.923), total number of fruits per vine (0.754), crop duration (0.635), number of male flowers per vine (0.518), number of nodes per vine at 90 DAT (0.505), node at which first male flower appeared (0.363), sex ratio (0.305), number of branches per vine at 90 DAT (0.097), rind thickness (0.036).

These finding are in agreement with the results obtained by Dhaliwal *et al.* (1996) in muskmelon, Singh *et al.* (2002) and Rao *et al.* (2004) in cucumber, Sowmya *et al.* (2019) in bitter gourd. The results obtained by Karthick *et al.* (2019a) in the experiment with cucumber germplasm shown that number of fruits per vine had highest positive direct effect, contrastingly sex ratio had highest negative direct effect on fruit yield per vine than other traits.

Whereas, highest negative direct effect on fruit yield per vine was exhibited by seed weight per fruit (-0.999), number of female flowers per vine (-0.703), fruit diameter (-0.489), days to first male flower appeared (-0.260), internodal length at 90 DAT (-0.211), vine length at 90 DAT (-0.164), node at which first female flower appeared (-0.163), fruit length (-0.016), days to first female flower appeared (-0.008). These results were in agreement with the findings of Pal *et al.* (2017) in cucumber for days to first harvest and fruit diameter. Solanki and Shah (1989) also found the similar findings.

The data presented in the Table 3 revealed that maximum positive indirect effects on fruit yield per vine was exhibited by number of seeds per fruit through seed weight per fruit (0.694) followed by total number of fruits per vine via number of female flowers per vine (0.650), average fruit weight via fruit diameter (0.580) and number of seeds per fruit (0.593), number of seeds per fruit via average fruit weight (0.522), seed weight per fruit through number of female flowers per vine (0.518), number of female flowers per vine via fruit diameter (0.515), crop duration via days to first male flower appeared (0.482) and node at which first male flower appeared (0.457), average fruit weight via seed weight per fruit (0.431) and rind thickness (0.426). High positive indirect effects of fruit diameter via average fruit weight and hundred seed weight via fruit diameter were also recorded on yield per plant by Pal *et al.* (2017) in cucumber. Similar results were also found by Rajput *et al.* (1991) and Ying *et al.* (2004) in cucumber.

Maximum negative indirect impact on fruit yield per vine was shown by seed weight per fruit through number of seeds per fruit (-0.752) followed by total number of fruits per vine through fruit diameter (-0.649), average fruit weight through total number of fruits per vine (-0.613) and number of female flowers per vine (-0.590), number of female flowers per vine through number of male flowers per vine (-0.491), number of female flowers per vine via days to first female flower appeared (-0.443), total number of fruits per vine via average fruit weight (-0.441), number of male flowers per vine via sex ratio (-

Table 1 : Genotypic correlation co-efficient for different parameters in bitter gourd genotypes.

	X1	X2	X3	X4	X5	X6	X7	X8	X9	X10	X11	X12	X13	X14	X15	X16	X17	X18	X19	X20	X21	X22	X23
X1	1.000	0.386**	-0.400**	0.147	-0.123	-0.446**	0.025	0.281*	0.386**	0.112	0.006	0.350**	-0.028	0.237	-0.135	0.408**	0.049	0.149	0.147	0.189	0.226	0.156	0.200
X2	1.000	0.156	-0.060	-0.193	-0.143	0.225	0.069	-0.007	0.012	0.088	0.023	-0.277	0.292**	-0.355**	0.160	0.279**	-0.190	-0.280**	-0.286*	-0.184	0.121	-0.268**	
X3		1.000	-0.457**	-0.082	0.094	-0.049	-0.393**	-0.312**	-0.493**	-0.417**	-0.072	0.021	-0.327**	-0.483**	-0.030	-0.191	-0.596**	-0.588**	-0.582**	-0.378**	-0.376**	-0.376**	
X4			1.000	-0.276*	-0.244	-0.078	0.464**	0.039	0.504**	0.615**	-0.029	0.068	-0.018	0.148	0.001	0.162	0.510**	0.504**	0.486**	0.149	0.256	0.267**	
X5				1.000	-0.036	-0.070	0.134	-0.054	0.128	0.035	0.073	0.066	-0.056	0.349**	-0.083	0.175	-0.001	-0.048	0.021	0.044	-0.214	0.460**	
X6					1.000	0.476**	-0.571**	-0.513**	-0.531**	-0.234	-0.733**	-0.421**	*0.281**	0.554**	-0.861**	-0.455**	-0.464**	-0.374**	-0.534**	-0.534**	0.229	0.140	-0.200
X7						1.000	-0.119	0.150	-0.266	-0.022	-0.164	0.064	-0.156	0.406**	-0.354**	-0.411**	-0.074	0.033	-0.067	0.379**	0.130	0.195	
X8							1.000	0.836**	0.885**	0.728**	0.560**	0.408**	-0.337**	-0.232	0.324**	0.855**	0.724**	0.545**	0.758**	0.124	0.013	0.286**	
X9								1.000	0.871**	0.589**	0.631**	0.558**	-0.580**	-0.339**	0.339**	0.862**	0.862**	0.465	0.2644	0.612**	0.238	-0.030	0.188
X10									1.000	0.899**	0.537**	0.539**	-0.333**	-0.114	0.320**	0.905**	0.671**	0.474**	0.719**	0.119	0.106	0.408**	
X11										1.000	0.343**	0.504**	-0.326**	-0.059	0.060	0.710**	0.578**	0.427**	0.595**	0.028	0.160	0.183	
X12											1.000	0.699***	-0.461**	-0.563**	-0.863**	0.650**	0.338*	0.186	0.377**	-0.413**	-0.518**	-0.518**	0.128
X13												1.000	-0.824**	-0.202	0.384**	0.489**	0.223	0.106	0.297*	-0.143	-0.283**	-0.241	
X14													1.000	0.302**	-0.119	-0.439**	-0.173	-0.066	-0.216	0.056	0.244	0.055	
X15														1.000	-0.585**	-0.395**	-0.049	0.055	-0.036	0.566**	0.411**	0.655**	
X16															1.000	0.259	0.301**	0.253	0.332**	-0.395**	-0.299*	0.365**	
X17																1.000	0.389**	0.146	0.397**	0.055	-0.040	0.027	
X18																	1.000	0.968**	0.961**	0.109	0.246	0.303**	
X19																		1.000	0.924**	0.102	0.275**	0.318**	
X20																			1.000	0.141	0.256	0.381**	
X21																				1.000	0.752**	0.444**	
X22																					1.000	0.281**	

** Significance at 1% probability
 X1 - Internodal length at 90 DAT
 X5 - Fruit length (cm)
 x9 - Days to first female flower
 X13 - Number of male flowers per plant
 X17 - Days to first harvest (DAT)
 X21 - Number of seeds per fruit

% probability

- 2 - Number of nodes per vine at 90 DAT
- 5 - Fruit diameter (mm)
- 10 - Node at which first male flower appears
- 14 - Sex ratio (%)
- 18 - Days to last harvest (DAT)
- 22 - Seed weight per fruit (g/fruit)

X3 - Number of branches per vine at 90 DAT
 X7 - Rind thickness (mm)
 X11 - Node at which first female flower appeared
 X15 - Average fruit weight (g)
 X19 - Fruiting period (days)
 X23 - Fruit yield per vine (g/vine)

X3 - Number of branches per vine at 90 DAT	X4 - Vine length at 90 DAT (cm)
X7 - Rind thickness (mm)	X8 - Days to first male flower appeared (DAT)
X11 - Node at which first female flower appeared	X12 - Number of female flowers per vine
X15 - Average fruit weight (g)	X16 - Total number of fruits per vine
X19 - Fruiting period (days)	X20 - Crop duration (days)

Table 2 : Phenotypic correlation co-efficient for different parameters in bitter gourd genotypes.

	X1	X2	X3	X4	X5	X6	X7	X8	X9	X10	X11	X12	X13	X14	X15	X16	X17	X18	X19	X20	X21	X22	X23	
X1	1.000	0.384**	-0.397**	0.146	-0.094	-0.439**	0.024	0.266*	0.219	0.097	0.010	0.280*	-0.032	0.223	-0.132	0.384**	0.040	0.147	0.137	0.177	0.220	0.154	0.189	
X2		1.000	0.151	-0.061	-0.150	-0.142	-0.221	0.059	-0.011	0.018	0.066	0.018	0.260	0.267*	-0.350**	0.152	0.189	-0.186	-0.264*	-0.268*	-0.180	0.122	-0.254	
X3			1.000	-0.448**	-0.093	0.104	-0.050	-0.358**	-0.171	-0.421**	-0.370**	-0.062	0.020	-0.302*	-0.469**	-0.026	-0.141	-0.591**	-0.557**	-0.539**	-0.361**	-0.364**	-0.538**	
X4				1.000	-0.203	-0.235	-0.078	0.436**	0.059	0.439**	0.544**	-0.018	0.066	-0.018	0.146	-0.001	0.098	0.497**	0.476**	0.460**	0.148	0.251	0.251	
X5					1.000	-0.053	-0.017	0.101	0.013	0.106	-0.017	0.116	0.036	0.010	0.254	-0.045	0.244	-0.001	-0.094	0.035	0.041	-0.153	0.333**	
X6						1.000	0.465**	-0.531**	-0.230	-0.457**	-0.210	-0.577**	-0.390**	0.257	0.541**	-0.802**	-0.341**	-0.460**	-0.345**	-0.497**	0.226	0.138	-0.175	
X7							1.000	-0.106	0.097	-0.219	-0.017	-0.145	0.047	-0.139	0.400**	-0.338*	-0.267*	-0.267*	-0.070	0.030	-0.059	0.373**	0.134	0.187
X8								1.000	0.475**	0.835**	0.653**	0.380**	0.348**	-0.327*	-0.208	0.273*	0.582**	0.582**	0.687**	0.676**	0.116	0.017	0.246	0.246
X9									1.000	0.458**	0.301*	0.326*	0.276*	-0.273*	-0.185	0.170	0.336*	0.235	0.115	0.352**	0.196	0.031	0.123	0.123
X10										1.000	0.716**	0.340*	0.445**	-0.309*	-0.097	0.257	0.552**	0.604***	0.413**	0.609**	0.105	0.109	0.338**	0.338**
X11											1.000	0.191	0.402**	-0.317*	-0.055	-0.013	0.455**	0.507**	0.350**	0.475**	0.027	0.134	0.108	0.108
X12												1.000	0.599**	-0.233	-0.441**	0.768**	0.270*	0.242	0.147	0.291*	-0.318*	-0.403**	0.150	0.150
X13													1.000	-0.779**	-0.196	0.348**	0.237	0.194	0.109	0.256	-0.142	-0.285*	0.206	
X14														1.000	0.286*	-0.045	-0.242	-0.155	-0.067	-0.190	0.054	0.240	0.240	0.104
X15															1.000	-0.552**	-0.262*	-0.051	0.047	-0.036	0.553**	0.407**	0.407**	0.638**
X16																1.000	0.149	0.288*	0.241	0.306*	-0.363**	-0.276*	0.354**	
X17																	1.000	0.268*	-0.104	0.209	-0.004	-0.026	0.026	
X18																		1.000	0.930**	0.909**	0.105	0.241	0.281**	
X19																			1.000	0.859**	0.110	0.259	0.280**	
X20																				1.000	0.160	0.257	0.355**	
X21																					1.000	0.748**	0.422**	
X22																						1.000	0.274**	

** Significance at 1% probability

* Significance at 5% probability

X3 - Number of nodes per vine at 90 DAT

X2 - Number of nodes per vine at 90 DAT

X4 - Vine length at 90 DAT (cm)

X5 - Internodal length at 90 DAT (cm)

X6 - Rind thickness (mm)

X7 - Fruit diameter (mm)

X8 - Days to first male flower appeared (DAT)

X9 - Days to first female flower appeared

X10 - Node at which first male flower appeared

X11 - Node at which first female flower appeared

X12 - Number of male flowers per vine

X13 - Number of female flowers per vine

X14 - Sex ratio (%)

X15 - Average fruit weight (g)

X16 - Total number of fruits per vine

X17 - Days to last harvest (DAT)

X18 - Fruiting period (days)

X19 - Crop duration (days)

X20 - Seed weight per fruit (g/fruit)

X21 - Number of seeds per fruit

X22 - Fruit yield per vine (g/vine)

Table 3 : Genotypic path co-efficient showing direct and indirect effects of different parameters on fruit yield per vine in bitter gourd genotypes.

	X1	X2	X3	X4	X5	X6	X7	X8	X9	X10	X11	X12	X13	X14	X15	X16	X17	X18	X19	X20	
X1	-0.211	-0.082	0.085	-0.031	0.026	0.094	-0.005	-0.060	-0.082	-0.024	-0.001	-0.074	0.006	-0.050	0.029	-0.086	-0.040	-0.048	-0.033	0.200	
X2	0.195	0.505	0.079	-0.030	-0.097	-0.072	-0.114	0.035	-0.003	0.006	0.044	0.011	-0.140	0.147	-0.179	0.081	-0.144	-0.093	0.061	-0.268**	
X3	-0.039	0.015	0.097	-0.044	-0.008	0.009	-0.005	-0.038	-0.030	-0.048	-0.040	-0.007	0.002	-0.032	-0.047	-0.003	-0.056	-0.037	-0.036	-0.588**	
X4	-0.024	0.010	0.075	-0.164	0.045	0.040	0.013	-0.076	-0.006	-0.083	-0.101	0.005	-0.011	0.003	-0.024	0.000	-0.080	-0.025	-0.042	0.267**	
X5	0.002	0.003	0.001	0.004	-0.016	0.001	0.001	-0.002	0.001	-0.002	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	0.001	-0.005	0.001	0.000	-0.001	0.003	0.460**	
X6	0.218	0.070	-0.046	0.119	0.018	-0.489	-0.233	0.279	0.251	0.260	0.115	0.359	0.206	-0.138	-0.271	0.421	0.261	-0.112	-0.069	-0.200	
X7	0.001	-0.008	-0.002	-0.003	-0.003	0.017	0.036	-0.004	0.005	-0.010	-0.001	-0.001	-0.006	0.002	-0.006	0.015	-0.013	-0.002	0.014	0.005	
X8	-0.073	-0.018	0.102	-0.120	-0.035	0.148	0.031	-0.260	-0.217	-0.230	-0.189	-0.145	-0.106	0.087	0.060	-0.084	-0.197	-0.032	-0.003	0.286**	
X9	-0.003	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.004	-0.001	-0.007	-0.008	-0.007	-0.005	-0.005	-0.004	0.005	0.003	-0.003	-0.005	-0.002	0.000	0.188	
X10	-0.018	-0.002	0.081	-0.082	-0.021	0.087	0.043	-0.145	-0.142	-0.163	-0.147	-0.088	-0.088	0.054	0.019	0.019	-0.052	-0.117	-0.019	-0.017	0.408**
X11	0.002	0.032	-0.151	0.223	0.013	-0.085	-0.008	0.264	0.214	0.363	0.125	0.183	-0.118	-0.022	0.022	0.216	0.010	0.058	0.183		
X12	-0.246	-0.016	0.050	0.020	-0.051	0.515	0.115	-0.393	-0.443	-0.377	-0.241	-0.7025	-0.491	0.324	0.395	-0.606	-0.265	0.290	0.364	0.128	
X13	-0.015	-0.144	0.011	0.035	0.034	-0.218	0.033	0.211	0.289	0.279	0.261	0.362	0.518	-0.426	-0.104	0.199	0.154	-0.074	-0.147	0.241	
X14	0.072	0.089	-0.100	-0.006	-0.017	0.086	-0.048	-0.103	-0.177	-0.102	-0.100	-0.141	-0.251	0.305	0.092	-0.036	-0.016	0.017	0.074	0.055	
X15	-0.141	-0.372	-0.507	0.155	0.366	0.580	0.426	-0.243	-0.356	-0.119	-0.062	-0.590	-0.211	1.048	-0.613	-0.037	0.593	0.431	0.655**		
X16	0.308	0.120	-0.022	0.000	-0.063	-0.649	-0.267	0.244	0.255	0.241	0.045	0.650	0.289	-0.090	-0.441	0.754	0.250	-0.298	-0.226	0.365**	
X17	0.120	-0.182	-0.370	0.308	0.013	-0.339	-0.042	0.482	0.389	0.457	0.378	0.239	0.189	-0.137	-0.023	0.211	0.635	0.089	0.162	0.381**	
X18	0.208	-0.169	-0.348	0.138	0.041	0.211	0.349	0.114	0.220	0.110	0.026	-0.381	-0.132	0.052	0.522	-0.365	0.130	0.923	0.694	0.444**	
X19	-0.156	-0.121	0.376	-0.256	0.214	-0.140	-0.130	-0.013	0.030	-0.106	-0.160	0.518	0.283	-0.243	-0.411	0.299	-0.255	-0.752	-0.999	0.281**	

Residual effect = 0.230

** Significance at 1% probability

X1 - Internodal length at 90 DAT (cm)

X5 - Fruit diameter (mm)

X9 - Days to first female flower appeared (DAT)

X13 - Number of male flowers per vine

X17 - Crop duration (days)

* Significance at 5% probability

X2 - Number of nodes per vine at 90 DAT

X3 - Number of branches per vine at 90 DAT

X7 - Rind thickness (mm)

X11 - Node at which first male flower appeared

X14 - Sex ratio (%)

X18 - Number of seeds per fruit

X4 - Vine length at 90 DAT (cm)

X8 - Days to first male flower appeared (DAT)

X12 - Number of female flowers per vine

X16 - Total number of fruits per vine

X20 - Fruit yield per vine (g/vine)

Table 4 : Phenotypic path co-efficient showing direct and indirect effects of different parameters on fruit yield per vine in bitter gourd genotypes.

	X1	X2	X3	X4	X5	X6	X7	X8	X9	X10	X11	X12	X13	X14	X15	X16	X17	X18	X19	X20
X1	-0.061	-0.023	0.024	-0.009	0.006	0.027	-0.002	-0.016	-0.013	-0.006	-0.001	-0.017	0.002	-0.014	0.008	-0.023	-0.011	-0.013	-0.189	
X2	0.040	0.103	0.016	-0.006	-0.016	-0.015	-0.023	0.006	-0.001	0.002	0.007	0.002	-0.027	0.028	-0.036	0.016	-0.028	-0.019	0.013	-0.254
X3	-0.099	0.038	0.250	-0.112	-0.023	0.026	-0.013	-0.090	-0.043	-0.106	-0.093	-0.016	0.005	-0.076	-0.118	-0.006	-0.135	-0.090	-0.091	-0.538**
X4	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.002	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.251
X5	-0.004	-0.006	-0.004	-0.008	0.038	-0.002	-0.001	0.004	0.001	0.004	-0.001	0.004	0.001	0.000	0.010	-0.002	0.001	0.002	-0.006	0.333**
X6	0.167	0.054	-0.040	0.089	0.020	-0.379	-0.177	0.201	0.087	0.173	0.080	0.219	0.148	-0.097	-0.205	0.304	0.189	-0.086	-0.053	-0.175
X7	0.003	-0.025	-0.006	-0.009	-0.002	0.052	0.111	-0.012	0.011	-0.024	-0.002	-0.016	0.005	-0.015	0.045	-0.038	-0.007	0.041	0.015	0.187
X8	0.018	0.004	-0.025	0.030	0.007	0.009	-0.037	-0.007	0.033	0.058	0.045	0.026	0.024	-0.023	-0.014	0.019	0.047	0.008	0.001	0.246
X9	0.022	-0.001	-0.017	0.006	0.001	-0.023	0.010	0.048	0.101	0.046	0.030	0.033	0.028	-0.028	-0.019	0.017	0.035	0.020	0.003	0.123
X10	0.012	0.002	-0.053	0.055	0.013	-0.057	-0.027	0.104	0.057	0.125	0.089	0.043	0.056	-0.039	-0.012	0.032	0.076	0.013	0.014	0.338**
X11	0.000	-0.002	0.012	-0.018	0.001	0.007	0.001	-0.021	-0.010	-0.023	-0.032	-0.006	-0.013	0.010	0.002	0.000	-0.015	-0.001	-0.004	0.108
X12	-0.041	-0.003	0.009	0.003	-0.017	0.085	0.021	-0.056	-0.048	-0.050	-0.028	-0.147	-0.088	0.034	0.065	-0.113	-0.043	0.046	0.059	0.151
X13	-0.011	-0.089	0.007	0.023	0.012	-0.133	0.016	0.119	0.094	0.152	0.137	0.204	0.341	-0.266	-0.067	0.119	0.087	-0.048	-0.097	0.206
X14	0.076	0.091	-0.103	-0.006	0.003	0.088	-0.047	-0.112	-0.093	-0.106	-0.108	-0.080	-0.266	0.342	0.098	-0.015	-0.065	0.018	0.082	0.104
X15	-0.145	-0.385	-0.515	0.160	0.279	0.595	0.440	-0.229	-0.204	-0.107	-0.060	-0.485	-0.215	0.314	1.099	-0.607	-0.039	0.607	0.447	0.638**
X16	0.173	0.069	-0.012	-0.001	-0.020	-0.361	-0.152	0.123	0.077	0.116	-0.006	0.346	0.157	-0.020	-0.249	0.450	0.138	-0.163	-0.124	0.354**
X17	0.023	-0.035	-0.070	0.060	0.005	-0.065	-0.008	0.088	0.046	0.080	0.062	0.038	0.033	-0.025	-0.005	0.040	0.131	0.021	0.034	0.355**
X18	0.036	-0.030	-0.060	0.025	0.007	0.037	0.062	0.019	0.032	0.017	0.004	-0.052	-0.024	0.009	0.092	-0.060	0.027	0.166	0.124	0.422**
X19	-0.021	-0.016	0.049	-0.034	0.020	-0.019	-0.018	-0.004	-0.015	-0.018	0.054	0.038	-0.032	-0.054	0.037	-0.034	-0.100	-0.134	0.274**	

Residual effect= 0.251

** Significance at 1% probability

* Significance at 5% probability

- X1 - Internodal length at 90 DAT (cm)
X2 - Number of nodes per vine at 90 DAT
X3 - Number of branches per vine at 90 DAT
X4 - Vine length at 90 DAT (cm)
X5 - Fruit diameter (mm)
X6 - Rind thickness (mm) X7 - Internodal length at 90 DAT (cm)
X8 - Days to first male flower appeared (DAT)
X9 - Node at which first male flower appeared
X10 - Days to first female flower appeared
X11 - Number of female flowers per vine
X12 - Number of fruits per vine
X13 - Average fruit weight (g)
X14 - Sex ratio (%)
X15 - Number of seeds per fruit
X16 - Total number of fruits per vine
X17 - Crop duration (days)
X18 - Number of seeds per fruit
X19 - Seed weight per fruit (g/vine)

0.426), seed weight per fruit *via* average fruit weight (-0.411). Sharma and Bhutani (2001) also found similar results in bitter gourd.

Most of the characters contributing towards fruit yield per vine has been included in the study, which was depicted by the very negligible (0.251) residual effect (Table 4).

References

- Behera, T.K., Dey S.S. and Sirohi P.S. (2006), DBGy-201 and DBGy-202: two gynoecious lines in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) isolated from indigenous source. *Indian J. Genet.*, **66**, 61–62.
- Changyuan, Z., Shaobo L. and Jianning L. (2002). Correlation and Path Analysis of the Main Agronomic Traits of Bitter Gourd [J]. *China Vegetables*, **3**.
- Chakraborty, L., Acharyya P. and Raychaudhuri S. (2013). Diversity analysis of *Momordica charantia* L. accessions from eastern and north eastern India based on morphological, yield related traits and molecular marker. *Proceedings of FVHH*, Thailand, 179-193.
- Dewey, D.R. and Lu K.H. (1957). A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. 515-518
- Iqbal, M., Munawar M., Najeebulah M. and Din A. (2016). Assessment of genetic diversity in bitter gourd. *Int. J. Veg. Sci.*, **22(6)**, 578-584.
- Hanchinamani, C.N. and Patil M.G (2009). Correlation studies in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *Asian J. Hort.*, **4(1)**, 121-125.
- Kumar, S., Kumar R., Gupta R.K. and Sepahia R. (2011b). Studies on correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and its contributing traits in cucumber. *Crop Improvement*, **38(1)**, 18-23.
- Morton, J.F. (1967) The balsam pear-an edible medicinal and toxic plant. *Eco. Bot.*, **212**, 57-68.
- Podder, R., Rasul G.M., Islam A.M., Mian K.I. and Ahmed U.J. (2010). Combining ability and heterosis in snake gourd. *Bangladesh J. Plant Breed. Genet.*, **23(2)**, 01-06.
- Ram, D., Kumar S., Singh M., Rai M. and Kalloo C. (2006). Inheritance of gynoecism in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia*). *U. J. Hered.*, **97**, 294-295.
- Raj, M., Prasanna N.K. and Peter K.V. (1993). Bitter gourd (*Momordica* ssp.). In: Kalloo, G. and Berg B.O. (Eds). *Genetic Improvement of Vegetable Crops*, Pergmon Press, Oxford, UK. 239-246.
- Sarkar, S.K., Marity T.K. and Som N.G (1999). Correlation and path coefficient studies in pointed gourd (*Trichosanthes dioica* Roxb.). *Indian J. Hort.*, **56(3)**, 252-255.